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INTRODUCTION

Sinoliths are calculi found particularly in frontal, maxillary, sphenoid and ethmoid sinuses1,2. Sinoliths of the paranasal sinuses are still rare entities2. The highest incidence of stone formation is in the maxillary sinus, following the frontal sinus and the ethmoidal sinus3.

The term “sinolith” usually indicates calculi in the sphenoid, ethmoid or frontal sinuses, whereas calculi in the maxillary sinus are also termed antroliths or antrolithiasis and calculi in the nasal fossae are known as rhinoliths or rhinolithiasis2. Although antroliths and sinoliths are extremely common in world literature, the presence of a sinolith, especially in the ethmoidal sinus, is exceedingly uncommon3.

We report here bilateral minor sinoliths in the ethmoidal sinuses. These were found incidentally at a Cone Beam Computed Tomography (CBCT) exploration of a patient prior to the dental treatment.

CASE REPORT

In a 34-year-old female patient who was evaluated in Cone Beam Computed Tomography (CBCT) for establishing an adequate dental treatment, minor dense bodies in the ethmoid sinuses were bilaterally found. There were previously reported only 4 cases of unilateral large ethmoidal sinoliths (ES), this one being the fifth report. We report here the incidental bilateral evidence in a 34-year-old female patient evaluated in Cone Beam Computed Tomography (CBCT) of minor ES. The left ES, of 1.6 mm² sagittal size, occupied the suprabullar cell, in front of the ground lamella and behind the anterior ethmoidal canal. The right ES, of 7.6 mm², was located behind the ground lamella. The radiodensity of each ES was about 1000 HU, their bone quality being thus assessed. This is the first evidence of bilateral and clinically silent ethmoidal sinoliths. Being small-sized and incidentally found, it seems reasonable to consider that ethmoidal sinoliths could have a higher incidence but they are overlooked due to the lack of clinical manifestations.
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ABSTRACT

Sinoliths are calculi found particularly in paranasal sinuses, the rarest location being the ethmoid air cells. There were previously reported only 4 cases of unilateral large ethmoidal sinoliths (ES), this one being the fifth report. We report here the incidental bilateral evidence in a 34-year-old female patient evaluated in Cone Beam Computed Tomography (CBCT) of minor ES. The left ES, of 1.6 mm² sagittal size, occupied the suprabullar cell, in front of the ground lamella and behind the anterior ethmoidal canal. The right ES, of 7.6 mm², was located behind the ground lamella. The radiodensity of each ES was about 1000 HU, their bone quality being thus assessed. This is the first evidence of bilateral and clinically silent ethmoidal sinoliths. Being small-sized and incidentally found, it seems reasonable to consider that ethmoidal sinoliths could have a higher incidence but they are overlooked due to the lack of clinical manifestations.
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The term “sinolith” usually indicates calculi in the sphenoid, ethmoid or frontal sinuses, whereas calculi in the maxillary sinus are also termed antroliths or antrolithiasis and calculi in the nasal fossae are known as rhinoliths or rhinolithiasis2. Although antroliths and sinoliths are extremely common in world literature, the presence of a sinolith, especially in the ethmoidal sinus, is exceedingly uncommon3.

We report here bilateral minor sinoliths in the ethmoidal sinuses. These were found incidentally at a Cone Beam Computed Tomography (CBCT) exploration of a patient prior to the dental treatment.
The right ES was at 1.50 mm beneath the ethmoid roof, within the central posterior ethmoid air cell, which was draining in the upper nasal meatus (Figure 3). It was placed immediately posterior to the 3rd ethmoidal lamella (the basal lamella of the middle turbinate; 3. anterior ethmoidal artery, coursing above the left ethmoidal sinolith and beneath the roof of the suprabullar air cell; 4. basal lamella of the ethmoidal bulla; 5. frontal sinus; 6. ethmoidal infundibulum; 7. middle turbinate; 8. ethmoidal bulla; 9. olfactory fossa; 10. lateral lamella; 11. suprabullar cell; 12. medial opening of the anterior ethmoidal canal; 13. suprabullar recess.

The right ES was at 1.50 mm beneath the ethmoid roof, within the central posterior ethmoid air cell, which was draining in the upper nasal meatus (Figure 3). It was placed immediately posterior to the 3rd ethmoidal lamella (the basal lamella of the middle turbinate, ground lamella); the anterior ethmoidal canal was identified applied on the posterior side of the 3rd ethmoidal lamella on that side, at 3.20 mm antero-superior to the ES and separated from it by the ground lamella. The sagittal area of the right ES was of 7.6 mm².

DISCUSSIONS

An ES was firstly reported by Kanzaki and Sakamoto in 2005⁵. It was found in the left ethmoid sinus of a 61-year-old patient who complained of nasal obstruction; the ES was about 1 cm in diameter and its structure was confirmed histopathologically as being bone-like⁶. The authors discussed that the pathogenesis of such sinoliths is not fully understood, sinoliths or antroliths being either of exogenous or of endogenous (sinus infections) origin⁷. In the case reported here, neither the clinical history, nor CBCT signs of ethmoid infections were documented.

Two more cases of large unilateral ES were further reported by Almasi et al. (2010)⁶. One was a 52-year-old female patient with a clinical picture of nasal dyspnea, postnasal drip and dry cough; the second case was of a 71-year-old male patient who had had repeated polypectomies⁸. In the first case the stone was located in the middle meatus and occupied the ethmoidal sinus; in the second case it was identified in the anterior ethmoidal sinus.
bulla, while in the second case the ES was placed in the anterior ethmoid adjacent to the lamina papyracea. The authors discussed then the vague pathogenesis of sinoliths and included as endogenous factors anatomical ones, such as poor sinus aeration and drainage. Neither of these could be considered in the case reported here, as no anatomical modifications were found impeding the drainage of the sinuses.

The fourth case of ES was reported by Nayak et al. (2014) in a 45-year-old male patient with recurrent nasal obstruction, episodic sneezing spells, headache and nasal discharge of 20-year duration. The CT exam revealed a 21.75 cm³ hyperdense irregular mass in the right anterior ethmoidal cell which was blocking the frontal recess and the hiatus semilunaris; mucosal thickenings were present in all paranasal sinuses. We fully agree with those authors who discuss that “CT of the paranasal sinuses clinches the diagnosis where a bony density is visualised”. Exploration in CBCT could benefit of the software possibility of determining the radiodensity of the solid masses, to assess the stone quality, as we did here. Nevertheless, rhinendoscopy stands as a useful tool to support the CT diagnosis.

CONCLUSIONS

The sinoliths of the ethmoidal sinus are rare findings, this case being, in our knowledge, the fifth one reported. This is also the first evidence of bilateral occurrence of ethmoidal sinoliths. Being small-sized and incidentally found, it seems reasonable to consider that ethmoidal sinoliths could have a higher incidence, but they are overlooked due to the lack of clinical manifestations.
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